For the modified theory, the temperature-wave velocity is again described by Eqs. (20) and (21), but in this case the definitions of a, c, and m are as follows:

$$\mathbf{a} = -\delta_G \, \hat{\mathbf{g}} \, (\mathbf{\theta}, \ \mathbf{\bar{\theta}}^t, \ \mathbf{\bar{G}}^t | \mathbf{n} \ \mathbf{1}^+), \tag{25}$$

$$c = D_{\theta} \, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}(\theta, \, \bar{\theta}^t, \, \bar{\mathbf{G}}^t), \tag{26}$$

$$m = \frac{1}{U_0 c} \delta_{\mathbf{g}} \hat{\mathbf{e}} (\theta, \overline{\theta}^t, \overline{\mathbf{G}}^t | \mathbf{n} \ 1^+). \tag{27}$$

In [1], Eqs. (20)-(24) formed the basis for the conclusion that if

$$\delta_{\sigma}e\left(\mathbf{\hat{\theta}},\ \overline{\mathbf{\hat{\theta}}}^{t},\ \overline{\mathbf{g}}|\mathbf{n}\ \mathbf{1}^{+}\right) \approx 0$$
 (28)

for all n, the temperature-wave velocity in the direction of \mathbf{q} was larger than in the direction $-\mathbf{q}$. Thus, this velocity is not simply a property of the material but is a function of the process. As follows from Eqs. (25)-(27), this effect is absent from the modified theory and, when only Eq. (28) is satisfied (if, for example, the material has a center of symmetry), $U = U_0$. The velocity U_0 may be regarded in the normal sense as a characteristic of the material since calculations of U_0 retaining only the main linear terms give a constant which depends solely on the temperature.

It should be emphasized that the difference of principle between the two theories are confirmed by experimental verification.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. M. E. Gurtin and A. C. Pipkin, "A theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds," Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 31, 113-126 (1968).
- 2. V. L. Kolpashchikov and A. I. Shnip, "Thermodynamic theory of linear heat conductor with memory," in: Problems of Heat and Mass Transfer [in Russian], Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk (1976).

HEAT TRANSFER IN SEMIINFINITE REGION WITH VARIABLE PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

Yu. I. Babenko UDC 536.24.02:517.9

A method is proposed for the determination of the nonsteady temperature field in a semiinfinite region with variable physical properties.

The heating of a semiinfinite region with variable physical parameters in the coordinate and the time, for zero initial conditions, may be described by the following equation

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \gamma(x, t)\right] T = 0, \quad 0 \leqslant x < \infty, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$T|_{x=0} = T_0(t); \quad T|_{x=\infty} = 0; \quad T|_{t=0} = 0.$$
(1)

It is required to find the temperature field T(x, t).

Earlier, for an analogous problem, only the temperature gradient at the boundary $(\partial T/\partial x)_{X=0}$ was found [1, 2].

The total solution of Eq. (1) will be sought in the form of a functional series

$$T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(x, t) D^{-n/2} e^{-xD^{1/2}} T_0(t).$$
 (2)

State Institute of Applied Chemistry, Leningrad. Translated from Inzhenerno-Fizicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 34, No. 2, pp.362-364, February, 1978. Original article submitted December 9, 1976.

Here D^{ν} are fractional-derivative operators. For an arbitrary function f(t) [3]

$$D^{\nu}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{-\nu} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad -\infty < \nu < 1,$$

$$D^{\nu}D^{\mu}f(t) = D^{\nu+\mu} f(t), \quad \nu + \mu \leq 1,$$

$$D^{\nu}t^{\mu} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1-\nu)} t^{\mu-\nu}.$$
(3)

The operator $e^{-xD^{1/2}}$ is given by the expression

$$e^{-xD^{1/2}}f(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{0}^{t} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{2Vt - \tau}\right) \right] f(\tau) d\tau. \tag{4}$$

The rather unwieldy form in which the operator is given emphasizes its properties

$$\frac{d}{dx} e^{-xD^{1/2}} f(t) = -D^{1/2} e^{-xD^{1/2}} f(t) = -e^{-xD^{1/2}} D^{1/2} f(t),$$

$$D^{\nu} e^{-xD^{1/2}} f(t) = e^{-xD^{1/2}} D^{\nu} f(t),$$

$$D^{-1/2} e^{-xD^{1/2}} f(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} [e^{-xD^{1/2}} f(t)] dx,$$
(5)

which are verified by means of a Laplace transform with respect to t. The function f(t) is assumed to be bounded and piecewise smooth: $\lim_{t\to \pm 0} f(t) \leqslant \text{const}$.

Substituting Eq.(2) into Eq.(1), using Eqs.(4) and (5), and equating terms of the same order in $D^{-n/2}$ e $e^{-xD^{1/2}}$ $T_0(t)$ gives a system of recurrence relations for c_n

$$c_0 = 1; \quad c_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \gamma(x, t) dx;$$

$$c_{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \left(\frac{\partial c_n}{\partial t} + \gamma c_n - \frac{\partial^2 c_n}{\partial x^2} \right) dx.$$
(6)

Equation (2) satisfies all the conditions in Eq. (1) if the series converges and may be differentiated term by term. In fact, Eq. (2) gives $T = T_0(t)$ for x = 0 and T = 0 for $x = \infty$. It is also known that the function $T = e^{-xD^{1/2}}T_0(t)$, being a solution of Eq. (1) for $\gamma = 0$, satisfies the zero initial condition for $0 < x < \infty$. For analytic $\gamma(x, t)$, the use in this solution of the operator of Eq. (2) does not change the order of $\lim_{t \to +0} T$; i.e., Eq. (2) satisfies the zero initial conditions.

Thus, the series in Eq.(2) is the solution of Eq. (1). If $\gamma(x)$ depends only on x, the solution may be obtained by means of a Laplace transform, since $D^{\nu}f(t) = p^{\nu}f(p)$; $e^{-xD1/2}f(t) = e^{-x\sqrt{p}f(p)}$.

Example 1. Let $\gamma = 2(1+x)^{-2}$; $T_0(t)$ is an arbitrary function. Then Eq. (6) gives $c_0 = 1$; $c_n = (-1)^n x (1+x)^{-1}$, $n \ge 1$. Summing the Laplace transform of Eq. (2) gives

$$\overline{T} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{\overline{p}}} \cdot \frac{x}{1 + x}\right) e^{-x\sqrt{\overline{p}}} \overline{T}_0(p).$$

Direct verification confirms this solution.

The confidence that the method is appropriate for any function of the arguments $\gamma(x, t)$ that is everywhere analytic derives from the verification of a number of complex examples, one of which is given below.

Example 2. Let $\gamma = x^2/4(t^2 - 1) + t/2$. In this case, an accurate solution of Eq. (1) is known

$$T = e^{x^2t/4} \left[1 - \Phi \left(x/2 \sqrt{e^{-t^2} \int_0^t e^{t^2} dt} \right) \right]$$
 (7)

It will be instructive to compare this with the solution obtained by the present method. From Eq. (6)

$$c_{1} = -\frac{x^{4}}{24} (t^{2} - 1) - \frac{xt}{4};$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{x^{6}}{1152} (t^{4} - t^{2} + 1) + \frac{x^{4}t^{3}}{96} - \frac{x^{2}}{32} (t^{2} - 4);$$

$$c_{3} = -\frac{x^{9}}{82944} (t^{6} - 2t^{4} + 2t^{2} - 1) - \frac{x^{7}}{32256} (7t^{5} + t^{3} - 12t) + \frac{x^{5}}{3840} (11t^{4} - 37t^{2} + 22) + \frac{9}{384} x^{3}t^{3} - \frac{x}{32} (t^{2} - 4).$$
(8)

Since Eqs. (2) and (7) are of completely different form, the comparison made will be $(\partial T/\partial x)_{X=0}$. From Eq. (7)

$$-\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = \left(\pi e^{-t^2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{t^2} dt\right)^{-1/2} = \pi^{-1/2} \left(t^{-1/2} + \frac{t^{3/2}}{3} + \frac{t^{7/2}}{30} + \cdots\right). \tag{9}$$

Using Eqs. (5) and (8) and calculating the necessary component terms c_4, \ldots, c_7 , Eq. (2) gives

$$-\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} = D^{1/2} 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial c_n}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0} D^{-n/2} 1 =$$

$$= \frac{t^{-1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)} + \frac{t}{4} \cdot \frac{t^{1/2}}{\Gamma(3/2)} + \frac{t^2 - 4}{32} \cdot \frac{t^{3/2}}{\Gamma(5/2)} - \frac{5t^3}{128} \cdot \frac{t^{5/2}}{\Gamma(7/2)} -$$

$$-\left(\frac{21t^4}{2048} - \frac{11t^2}{64} + \frac{21}{384}\right) \frac{t^{7/2}}{\Gamma(9/2)} + O(t^{9/2}) + \cdots$$

After grouping terms in increasing powers of t, this expression accurately gives the first three terms of Eq. (9).

NOTATION

T is the temperature;

To is the temperature in boundary region;

x is the coordinate;

t is the time;

γ is the heat-transfer function;

are the unknown functions;

f is the arbitrary function;

 D^{ν} is the fractional-differentiation operator;

p is the Laplacian;

is the probability integral;

 ν , μ , n are the differentiation and summation indices.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Yu. I. Babenko, Inzh. -Fiz. Zh., 26, No. 3 (1974).
- 2. Yu. I. Babenko, Prikl. Mat. Mekh., 38, No. 5 (1974).
- 3. A. V. Letnikov, Mat. Sb., 7, No. 5 (1974).